

A Noether-Lefschetz Theorem and applications

Kirti Joshi

School of Mathematics,
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005.
E-mail: Orion@tifrvax.bitnet

Preliminary version

1 Introduction

In this paper we generalize the classical Noether-Lefschetz Theorem (see [7], [5]) to arbitrary smooth projective threefolds. More specifically, we prove that given any smooth projective threefold X over complex numbers and a very ample line bundle L on X , there is an integer $n_0(X, L)$ such that if $n \geq n_0(X, L)$ then the Noether-Lefschetz locus of the linear system $H^0(X, L^n)$ is a countable union of proper closed subvarieties of $\mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L^n)^*)$ of codimension at least two. In particular, the *general singular member* of the linear system $H^0(X, L^n)$ is not contained in the Noether-Lefschetz locus. This generalizes the results of [5]. In [11], we find a conjecture due to M. V. Nori, which generalizes the Noether-Lefschetz theorem for codimension one cycles on smooth projective threefolds to higher codimension cycles on arbitrary smooth projective varieties. As an application of our main theorem we prove a result which can be thought of as a weaker version of Nori's conjecture for *codimension two cycles* on smooth projective threefolds.

The idea of the proof is borrowed from an elegant paper of M. Green ([4]) and the work of M. V. Nori (see [11]). In [4] it was shown that the classical Noether-Lefschetz theorem can be reduced to a coherent cohomology vanishing result and the required vanishing was also proved for $\mathbf{P}^3$. Though

we have used this idea, we prove the required vanishing by combining the techniques of [11] and of [4].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section (Section 2) we set up the basic notations and terminology. Here we have also collected a few facts which will be used throughout this paper. In Section 3 we set up the basic technical machinery. In this section, we reduce the Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz theorem to certain coherent cohomology vanishing statements. This is the most crucial part of the paper. Following the unpublished work of N. Mohan Kumar and V. Srinivas (see [6]), in Section 4, we show how the Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz theorem can be used to prove the global Noether-Lefschetz theorem. The global Noether-Lefschetz theorem is thus reduced to Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz theorems, which in turn are deduced from coherent cohomology vanishing results. In Section 5, we prove our main result, Theorem 5.1. By the results of the Sections 3 and 4, to prove our main theorem, we are reduced to proving several cohomology vanishing results. This program is carried out in Section 5. The technique of the proofs of this section are based on Green's work (see [4]) and a modification of this method due to Paranjape (see [12]). Finally, in the last section we give an application of our main theorem to codimension two cycles on smooth projective threefolds.

This paper could not have been written without all the help that we have received from N. Mohan Kumar and Kapil Paranjape. They have generously shared their ideas and insights on the problems considered in this paper; moreover N. Mohan Kumar suggested the problem and also explained to us his unpublished work (with V. Srinivas) and the work of Green. Kapil Paranjape patiently explained to us the work of Nori and the subsequent simplifications of Nori's work due to him. We would like to thank both N. Mohan Kumar and Kapil Paranjape for all their help and encouragement (and also their patience) without which this paper might not have been written.

We would also like to thank Madhav Nori and V. Srinivas for numerous conversations and suggestions.

2 Generalities and notations

Let $X/\mathbf{C}$ be a smooth projective threefold, L an ample line bundle on X , spanned by its global sections. For any linear system $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ we will write $S = \mathbf{P}(W^*)$, and for any point $s \in S$ we will write $T = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(W^*)}/m_s^2)$, where m_s is the maximal ideal of the point s . The base point free linear system $H^0(X, L)$ gives a universal family of hypersurfaces with the parameter space $\mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$. Moreover, if $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ is any sub linear system, then we can restrict the above universal family, to the linear subspace $\mathbf{P}(W^*) \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$, and get a universal family of hypersurfaces $\mathcal{Y}$ parametrised by $\mathbf{P}(W^*)$. Further, we will also write $\mathcal{X} = X \times \mathbf{P}(W^*)$. Note that we have suppressed the dependence of $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ and T on W and s . In our discussions, it will be clear which W, s the notation refers to, and there will be no danger of confusion.

When the linear system W is base point free, we can also describe the universal family as follows. We denote by $M(L, W)$ the locally free sheaf defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M(L, W) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Further as a notational convenience, if $W = H^0(X, L)$, we will write $M(L) = M(L, W)$. Then $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{P}_X(M(L, W)^*)$. The dual of the exact sequence above then gives an embedding $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

For any S -scheme S' , denote by $\mathcal{X}_{S'} = \mathcal{X} \times_S S'$. In particular we have the “universal infinitesimal deformations” corresponding to a point $s \in S$, given by $\mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{Y}_T$. Note that for any $S' \rightarrow S$, we have an embedding $\mathcal{Y}_{S'} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{S'}$.

We have the projections $p_X : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $p_S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$. The restrictions of these morphisms to $\mathcal{Y}$ will also be denoted by the same symbols. Note that $p_S : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ is smooth over $s \in S$ if the zero scheme of the section $s \in W$ is a smooth subscheme of X . We will denote by Y_s the zero scheme of s .

We also have on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{Y}$) the de Rham complex on $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{Y}$) denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^\bullet$ (resp. $\Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet$). We define a complex on $\mathcal{X}$, denoted by $\Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^\bullet$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^\bullet \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

The first two are complexes of locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{X}$ and the latter is a complex of locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{Y}$. Further as the natural projections

$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ are smooth morphisms, we see that we also have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & p_X^*(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{Y}) & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}^1 \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & p_X^*(\Omega_X^1) & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/X}^1 \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & p_X^*(\Omega_X^1)|_{\mathcal{Y}} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/X}^1 \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

Note that as $\mathcal{Y}$ is a divisor in $\mathcal{X}$, the sheaves $\Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1$ and $\Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}^1$ are vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition: We will say the Vanishing Noether-Lefschetz condition is valid for (X, L, W, s) where W is a linear system contained in $H^0(X, L)$, and $s \in W$ if the following assertion is valid:

$$H^2(X, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}_T, \mathcal{Y}_T)}^1|_{X \times s}) = 0.$$

We will symbolically denote this hypothesis by $\text{VNL}(X, L, W, s)$.

Definition: If X, L are as above and $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ is any linear system we will say that the Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz Theorem is valid at $s \in W$ if in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Pic}(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T) \\
\searrow & & \swarrow \\
& \text{Pic}(Y_s) &
\end{array}$$

the equality $\text{im}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_s)) = \text{im}(\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_s))$ is valid. We will denote this hypothesis by $\text{INL}(X, L, W, s)$.

The key observation which relates these two definitions is the following proposition, which is just a reformulation of Remark 3.10 of [11].

Proposition 2.1 *Let X be a smooth projective threefold over complex numbers, L a very ample line bundle on X , $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ a base point free linear system. If $s \in W$ cuts out a smooth divisor Y_s on X and if $\text{VNL}(X, L, W, s)$ is valid then so is $\text{INL}(X, L, W, s)$.*

Proof: The morphism $p_S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_S^* \Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and a similar exact sequence for the morphism $p_S : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$. Since Y_s is a smooth divisor on X , the morphism $p_S : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ is smooth over $s \in S$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & p_S^*(\Omega_S^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-Y_s) & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})|_{X_s}}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/S|_{X_s}}^1 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{X_s} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1|_{X_s} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1|_{X_s} \rightarrow 0 \quad (4) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{Y_s} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^1|_{Y_s} & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/S}^1|_{Y_s} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

This diagram gives rise to the following cohomology diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{X_s}) \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ H^1(Y_s, \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^1|_{Y_s}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(Y_s, \Omega_{Y_s}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^2(Y_s, p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{Y_s}) \quad (5) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(X, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1|_{X_s}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/S}^1|_{X_s}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(X, p_S^*(\Omega_S^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-Y_s)) \end{array}$$

This diagram is obtained from the previous diagram by taking the long exact cohomology sequence and noting that we have the identifications:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1|_{X_s} &\simeq \Omega_{X_s}^1 \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}/S}^1|_{Y_s} &\simeq \Omega_{Y_s}^1\end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, the first term on the bottom row (from the left) is zero (this vanishing is just $\text{VNL}(X, L, W, s)$). Then it follows from the injectivity of the bottom row map that $\ker(\alpha) \subset \text{im}(\beta)$. Further note that one has the trivial identifications:

$$\begin{aligned}H^2(X_s, p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{X_s}) &\simeq H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \Omega_S^1 \otimes k(s) \\ H^2(Y_s, p_S^*(\Omega_S^1)|_{Y_s}) &\simeq H^2(Y_s, \mathcal{O}_{Y_s}) \otimes \Omega_S^1 \otimes k(s),\end{aligned}$$

where $k(s)$ denotes the residue field of s . Using these identifications, one notes that the top two rows of this diagram can be identified with the “Kodaira-Spencer maps” for the infinitesimal deformations $\mathcal{X}_T$ and $\mathcal{Y}_T$ respectively (see [2]) (strictly speaking this identification above should be carried out on T).

Since $\ker(\alpha) \subset \text{im}(\beta)$, we see that any any class $c \in H^1(Y_s, \Omega_{Y_s}^1)$ which deforms infinitesimally is the image of a class in $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$. Further as Y_s is the zero scheme of a section of a very ample line bundle, one has a surjection $\text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(Y_s)$ (this follows easily from Kodaira vanishing theorem). Moreover, it is easy to see, using the exponential sequence, that the homomorphism $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_s)$ has torsion free cokernel. So that we see at once that in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\text{Pic}(X) & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Pic}(Y_s) & \end{array}$$

any line bundle which is in the image of the map $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y_s)$, comes from $\text{Pic}(X)$. Thus we have proved the proposition. $\square$

This proposition, though a technical assertion, is a crucial result from the point of view of this paper. In the next section we use this result to systematically reduce Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz Theorem to a coherent cohomology vanishing which can be checked in practice.

3 The Noether-Lefschetz machine

The condition $\text{VNL}(X, L, W, s)$ is now cast into a more manageable form. The technique which is employed in the proof of the following proposition is going to be applied repeatedly in different contexts throughout this section and hence in the subsequent proofs of this section, we will give the important points and leave the details to the reader.

Proposition 3.1 *Let (X, L, W, s) be such that $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ is a base point free linear system and $s \in W$ cuts out a smooth divisor on X . Assume that the following assertions are valid:*

$$(3.1.1) \quad H^1(X, \Omega_X^2 \otimes L) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.1.2) \quad H^1(X, M(L, W) \otimes K_X \otimes L) = 0.$$

Then $\text{VNL}(X, L, W, s)$ is valid.

Proof: We closely follow the techniques of proof in [11]. Indeed, we make repeated application of this method throughout this section.

Since the morphisms $p_X : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ and $p_X|_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ are smooth we have the following exact sequence of vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}$:

$$0 \rightarrow p_X^*(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}^1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (6)$$

This exact sequence is just the top row of the commutative diagram (2). Further, note that we can restrict this exact sequence to X_s and get the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow p_X^*(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{Y})|_{X_s} \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1|_{X_s} \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}^1|_{X_s} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

Thus to prove that the middle term of the above exact sequence has no H^2 , it suffices to prove that the extreme terms have no H^2 . Now as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{Y})|_{X_s} = L^{-1}$, we see that

$$H^2(X, p_X^*(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\mathcal{Y})|_{X_s}) = H^2(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes L^{-1}). \quad (8)$$

And so the vanishing of this is just the hypothesis (3.1.1), by Serre duality. Now we prove that the second term also has no H^2 . This is done by the following:

Claim:

$$\Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}|_{X_s} = M(L, W)^* \otimes L^{-1}.$$

Proof: This fact is easily verified, it also follows from an explicit resolution of the sheaves $\Omega^i_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/X}$ constructed in [12]. $\square$

Now the second vanishing is just our hypothesis (3.1.2), after applying Serre duality. This proves Proposition 3.1. $\square$

Before we proceed further we need some notations. If $x \in X$ is any point, we denote by $\widetilde{X}_x$ the blowup of X along x ; $\pi_x : \widetilde{X}_x \rightarrow X$ the blowup morphism and E_x the exceptional divisor. We write $L'_x = \pi_x^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_x}(-E_x)$. Frequently, when there is no chance of confusion, we will suppress the subscript x from the above notations.

Suppose $W \subset H^0(X, m_x \otimes L) = H^0(\widetilde{X}_x, L'_x)$ is a subspace. We assume that the linear system W is base point free on $\widetilde{X}$. By Proposition 3.1, $\text{INL}(\widetilde{X}, L'_x, W, s)$ is reduced to a vanishing on $\widetilde{X}$. The next proposition reduces the vanishing on $\widetilde{X}$ to a coherent cohomology vanishing on X .

Proposition 3.2 *Let (X, L, W, s) be as above. Suppose the following assertions are valid:*

$$(3.2.1) \quad H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}_x}(-2E_x)) \otimes L) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.2.2) \quad H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W)) \otimes K_X \otimes L) = 0.$$

Then $\text{VNL}(\widetilde{X}_x, L'_x, W, \tilde{s})$ is valid.

Proof: The proof is similar to the one given earlier, though it is a bit more involved. For the purpose of the proof let us use the following notations: we write $\mathcal{X} = \widetilde{X}_x \times S$, $S = \mathbf{P}(W^*)$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{X}_x}(M(L'_x, W)^*)$ and $D = E_x \times S$. Note that D is a divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ and that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(D) = p_{\widetilde{X}_x}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_x}(E_x))$.

We have the following exact sequence on $\mathcal{X}$.

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})} \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}(D) \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}(D)|_D \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we can restrict this exact sequence to the fibre $\mathcal{X}_s = \widetilde{X} \times \{\tilde{s}\}$ of the projection morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ over $\tilde{s} \in S$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}(D)|_D|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus to prove that the first term on the left has no H^2 (that is to say $\text{VNL}(\widetilde{X}_x, L'_x, W, \tilde{s})$), it suffices to prove the following assertions:

$$(3.2.3) \quad H^2(\mathcal{X}_s, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.2.4) \quad H^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_D|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0.$$

These are proved in Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.6. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *The hypotheses of Proposition 3.2 imply (3.2.3).*

Proof: First note that $D|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = E_x$ (recall that $D = E_x \times S$, so this equality is obvious). Now we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow p_{\tilde{X}}^*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\tilde{X}}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Twisting this exact sequence by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ we get

$$0 \rightarrow p_{\tilde{X}_x}^*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}_x}^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathcal{Y}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\tilde{X}_x}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now restricting to $\mathcal{X}_s$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow p_{\tilde{X}}^*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(E_x)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathcal{Y})|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\tilde{X}}^1(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{O}_X(D) = p_{\tilde{X}}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_x))$ in the term on the left. So the middle term has no H^2 if the extreme terms have no H^2 . So we have to check that the hypotheses of the Proposition 3.2 ensure this.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathcal{Y})|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = L_x'^{-1}$, and as $p_{\tilde{X}}^*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(E_x))|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(E_x)$, we have to prove that the hypotheses of the proposition imply that

$$(3.3.5) \quad H^2(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^1(E_x) \otimes L_x'^{-1}) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3.6) \quad H^2(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\tilde{X}}^1(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0.$$

We will use the Leray spectral sequence to prove that the hypothesis (3.2.1) will imply (3.3.5) and hypothesis (3.2.2) will imply (3.3.6). This will prove Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Sublemma 3.4 *Hypothesis (3.2.1) implies (3.3.5).*

Proof: By Serre duality we are reduced to proving:

$$H^1(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-E_x) \otimes L'_x) = 0.$$

Now by Leray spectral sequence for $\pi_x : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$, we see that the above H^1 is vanishes if:

$$(3.4.7) \quad H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-E_x) \otimes L'_x)) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.4.8) \quad R^1(\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-E_x) \otimes L'_x) = 0.$$

Note that by the projection formula,

$$(\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-E_x) \otimes L'_x) = (\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-2E_x)) \otimes L.$$

Hence vanishing of (3.4.7) above is implied by hypothesis (3.2.1). So we have to check that R^1 is also zero. By projection formula, it suffices to prove the following:

$$R^1(\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-2E_x)) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since the fibres of π_x have dimension ≤ 2 , R^2 satisfies base change. Since the R^2 is supported on the point x , we can compute the R^2 by restricting to E_x . Providing we show that R^2 is 0, we get that R^1 satisfies base change. Then R^1 is also supported on the point x and can be computed by restricting to E_x . On E_x we have the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^1|_{E_x} \rightarrow \Omega_{E_x}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

An easy calculation shows that this exact sequence splits. So that we have

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^1|_{E_x} = \Omega_{E_x}^1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(1).$$

So that by taking exteriors, we have

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2|_{E_x} = \Omega_{E_x}^2 \oplus \Omega_{E_x}^1(1).$$

Hence we have

$$\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-2E_x)|_{E_x} = \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2|_{E_x} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(2) = \Omega_{E_x}^2(2) \oplus \Omega_{E_x}^1(3).$$

Since $E_x \simeq \mathbf{P}^2$, we see easily that H^1 and H^2 of the latter sheaf vanish. $\square$

Sublemma 3.5 *Hypothesis (3.2.2) implies (3.3.6)*

Proof: We want to prove that

$$H^2(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\widetilde{X}}(D)|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0.$$

Firstly we recall that $\Omega^1_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})/\widetilde{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = M(L'_x, W)^* \otimes L'^{-1}_x$ (this is just the formula 3). Further $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(D)|_{\widetilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(E_x)$. So that we have to show that

$$H^2(\widetilde{X}, M(L'_x, W)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(E_x) \otimes L'^{-1}_x) = 0.$$

By Serre duality, we have to prove the following vanishing:

$$H^1(\widetilde{X}, M(L'_x, W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E_x) \otimes K_{\widetilde{X}} \otimes L'_x) = 0.$$

This is done as before by a Leray spectral sequence argument. We observe that $H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E_x) \otimes K_{\widetilde{X}} \otimes L'_x)) = 0$ by the hypothesis (3.2.2). Thus it suffices to prove that

$$R^1(\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-E_x) \otimes K_{\widetilde{X}} \otimes L'_x) = 0.$$

Using the projection formula and the fact that $K_{\widetilde{X}} = \pi_x^*(K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(2E_x)$, we see that it suffices to prove that

$$R^1(\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W)) = 0.$$

As before we will show vanishing of H^1 and H^2 after restricting to E_x . This will imply the vanishing of R^1 and R^2 as before. Since we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M(L'_x, W) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} \rightarrow L'_x \rightarrow 0,$$

restricting this exact sequence to E_x , by the universal property of the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{E_x}(1)$, we see that we have:

$$M(L'_x, W)|_{E_x} = \Omega^1_{E_x}(1) \oplus V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x},$$

for some subspace $V' \subset W$. Now since $E_x \simeq \mathbf{P}^2$ one notes that the H^1 and H^2 of the latter sheaf vanish. $\square$

Finally, to complete the proof of Proposition 3.2, it remains to prove (3.2.4):

Lemma 3.6

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_D|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0.$$

Proof: Observe that as $D = E_x \times S$, we have $D|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = E_x$ and hence $D|_{E_x} = \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1)$. We have the divisor $Z = E_x \times S \cap \mathcal{Y} = D \cap \mathcal{Y}$ in D . Note that D is just a product of $\mathbf{P}^2$ and a projective space. Thus the vanishing to be proved is reduced to a vanishing result on $\mathbf{P}^2$. Further note that by definition $Z = \mathbf{P}_{E_x}(M(L'_x, W)^*|_{E_x})$. We have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_D(-Z) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_D & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_D & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1(D)|_D & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{Y}}^1(D)|_D \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(D, Z)}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) & \rightarrow & \Omega_D^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) & \rightarrow & \Omega_Z^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Further restricting the right column to $\mathcal{X}_s = \widetilde{X} \times \{s\}$ we see that the middle term $H^1(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})}^1(D)|_D|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0$ if

$$(3.6.9) \quad H^1(E_x, \mathcal{O}_D(-Z)|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.6.10) \quad H^1(E_x, \Omega_{(D, Z)}^1|_{E_x} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1)) = 0.$$

Note that as $E_x \simeq \mathbf{P}^2$, and as $\mathcal{O}_D(-Z)|_{E_x}$ is a line bundle on E_x , its H^1 is trivially zero. So we have to prove (3.6.10). To do this we proceed as follows: We have the exact sequence (from the definition)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega_{(D, Z)}^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_D^1 & \rightarrow & \Omega_Z^1 \rightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \parallel & & \\
& & & & \Omega_{E_x}^1 \oplus \Omega_S^1 & &
\end{array}$$

So restricting to E_x , as $\tilde{s}$ cuts out a smooth divisor on $\widetilde{X}$, $Z = E_x \cap \mathcal{Y}$ is a $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle over S in a Zariski neighbourhood of $\tilde{s}$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{(D,Z)}^1|_{E_x} \rightarrow \Omega_D^1|_{E_x} \rightarrow \Omega_Z^1|_{E_x} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\Omega_D^1|_{E_x} = \Omega_{E_x}^1 \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}$, and similarly we have $\Omega_Z^1|_{E_x} = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}^1 \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}$. This gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\Omega_{(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathbf{P}^1)}^1 \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) &\rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1(-1) \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}^1(-1) \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking long exact cohomology sequence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^1}^1(-1)) \oplus H^0(\mathbf{P}^2, \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1)) &\rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1((\Omega_{(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathbf{P}^1)}^1 \oplus \Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1)) &\rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^2}^1(-1)) \oplus H^1(\Omega_{S,s}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1)). & \end{aligned}$$

And the terms on the extreme vanish so that the middle term vanishes. Hence we are done. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.6 $\square$

For the next proposition, we need some more notation. Let

$$L_x'' = (\pi_x)^*(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-2E_x).$$

Observe that image, under π_x of smooth sections of $H^0(\widetilde{X}, L_x'')$, are sections in $H^0(X, L)$ which have a single ordinary double point at x . We now want to reduce the condition $\text{VNL}(\widetilde{X}, L_x'', H^0(\widetilde{X}, L_x''), s)$ for smooth $s \in S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(\widetilde{X}, L_x'')^*)$, to a more manageable form. This is done by the following.

Proposition 3.7 *Assume that L is such that L_x'' is very ample on $\widetilde{X}$. Let $s \in W = H^0(\widetilde{X}, L_x'')$ be a section which cuts out a smooth divisor on $\widetilde{X}$. Assume that the following assertions are valid:*

$$(3.7.1) \quad H^1(X, L \otimes m_x^3) = 0, \text{ where } m_x \text{ is the maximal ideal of } x \in X.$$

$$(3.7.2) \quad H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}_x}^2(-2E_x)) \otimes L) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.7.3) \quad H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L_x'')) \otimes K_X \otimes L) = 0.$$

Then $\text{VNL}(\widetilde{X}, L''_x, H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x), s)$ is valid.

Proof: Since the linear system W is base point free on $\widetilde{X}$, and as the section $s \in W$ cuts out a smooth divisor on $\widetilde{X}$, we see that Proposition 3.1 can be applied. By this proposition, it suffices to prove the following assertions:

$$(3.7.4) \quad H^1(\widetilde{X}, \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2 \otimes L''_x) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.7.5) \quad H^1(\widetilde{X}, M(L''_x, W) \otimes K_{\widetilde{X}} \otimes L''_x) = 0.$$

Thus we have to prove that the hypothesis of the proposition ensure the vanishing (3.7.4) and (3.7.5). The argument is similar to the one earlier. Firstly, let us observe that the implication (3.7.2) implies (3.7.4) follows from 3.4. By a Leray spectral sequence argument, we are reduced to proving

$$R^1(\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}^2(-2E_x)) = 0.$$

But this has been proved during the proof of Sublemma 3.4 as equation (9). Thus we are done in this case. So it remains to prove that (3.7.1) and (3.7.3) together imply (3.7.5).

First we check that $R^1(\pi_x)_*(M(L''_x, W)) = 0$. This can be done by restricting to E_x . On E_x we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M(L''_x, W)|_{E_x} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_x} \rightarrow L''_x|_{E_x} \rightarrow 0.$$

So on noting that $W = H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x) = H^0(X, L \otimes m_x^2)$, the result now follows from (3.7.1) and (3.7.3). $\square$

4 The Noether-Lefschetz Locus

For any smooth projective threefold X , and L be a very ample line bundle on X , we say smooth member Y of $H^0(X, L)$ lies in the “Noether-Lefschetz locus of the linear system $H^0(X, L)$ ” if $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is not a surjection. More generally, if Y is normal we say Y lies in the “Noether-Lefschetz locus” if $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow CH^1(Y)$ is not a surjection.

Now we deduce the global Noether-Lefschetz theorem from the infinitesimal results.

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose X is a smooth projective threefold over $\mathbf{C}$ and L is a very ample line bundle over X . Assume that $\text{INL}(X, L, H^0(X, L), s)$ is valid for all $s \in H^0(X, L)$ which cut out a smooth divisor on X . Then the Noether-Lefschetz locus for the linear system $H^0(X, L)$ has codimension ≥ 1 in $\mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$.*

Proof: We argue as in [6]. We can assume that the X, L are defined over a finitely generated field $K/\mathbf{Q}$. Let $\bar{K}$ denote the algebraic closure of K . Let $\bar{K}(\eta)$ denote the rational function field in $\dim H^0(X, L)$ variables over $\bar{K}$. Then this defines a point $\eta \hookrightarrow S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$, which we will call the $\bar{K}$ -generic point of S . Let $\bar{\eta} \in S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$ be the corresponding $\bar{K}$ -geometric generic point of S . Then we claim that $\text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}}) \simeq \text{Pic}(Y_{\bar{\eta}})$.

The claim is proved as follows. Suppose that it is not an isomorphism, then in particular the map is not surjective. Let $\alpha \in \text{Pic}(Y_{\bar{\eta}})$ be any line bundle not in the image of the map. Then this cycle is defined over a finite field extension of the function field $\bar{K}(\eta)$. Then there exists an étale open set $U \rightarrow S$ such that α “spreads” out to a line bundle on $\mathcal{Y}_U$. By replacing U by a smaller non-empty open subset if necessary, we can further assume that α is a nontrivial element of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_U)$. Now we restrict α to the fibres of $\mathcal{Y}_U \rightarrow U$. By shrinking U further we can assume that image of U in S is an open set which does not meet the discriminant locus of the linear system $H^0(X, L)$ in S . Thus we can now apply the Infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz to the fibres over U . On the fibres of $\mathcal{Y}_U \rightarrow U$, as $\text{INL}(X, L, H^0(X, L), s)$ is valid, we see that the restriction of α to the fibres over $u \in U$ is zero in $\text{Pic}(Y_u)$ because infinitesimally there are no extra cycles (note that we have used the fact that we can identify $\mathcal{O}_U/m_u^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_S/m_s^2$, where s is the image of u under the map $U \rightarrow S$). Then by the semi-continuity theorem we see that the line bundle α on $\mathcal{Y}_U$ must be trivial. This proves the claim.

Now it remains to prove that the Noether-Lefschetz locus has codimension ≥ 1 . We proceed as in [6]. Let U be the subset of S obtained by removing all the divisors of S , which are defined over $\bar{K}$. Thus we have removed a countable set of closed subvarieties. If $p \in U$ is a closed point the map $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}(p)) \hookrightarrow U$ factors through the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$. Further as $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the zero scheme of a section of an ample line bundle on $X_{\bar{\eta}}$, we see as $H^1(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{\eta}}}) \simeq H^1(Y_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\bar{\eta}}})$. We see that $\text{Pic}(Y_p)(\bar{K}) \simeq \text{Pic}(Y_{\bar{\eta}})(\bar{K})$. This proves the claim. $\square$

An identical argument, with divisors on S which are not contained in the

support of the discriminant locus, we can prove the following:

Proposition 4.2 *If $\text{INL}(X, L, W, s)$ is valid for all W of codimension one in $H^0(X, L)$ and $s \in W$ smooth. Then “smooth part” of the Noether-Lefschetz locus has codimension ≥ 2 in S .*

Proof: Since the proof is almost identical to the one given earlier, we will only indicate the important point. The idea is to work with divisor of D which is not contained in the discriminant locus. For such a divisor, we prove by an argument identical to the one given above that for the $\bar{K}[A]$ -geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}_D$ of D , we have an isomorphism $\text{Pic}(X_{\bar{\eta}_D}) \simeq \text{Pic}(Y_{\bar{\eta}_D})$. This is proved as before, except that one has to use INL for a codimension one linear system. For which we use the following Lemma. Assuming the Lemma for the moment, we complete the proof as follows. Consider the subset of D obtained by removing all the codimension one subvarieties of D which are defined over $\bar{K}$. Then the one argues as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *Let (X, L, W, s) be such that $W \subset H^0(X, L)$ is linear system of codimension one with a single base point at $x \in X$. Further assume that s cuts out a smooth divisor Y_s in X . Then we have*

$$\text{INL}(\tilde{X}_x, L'_x, W, \tilde{s}) \Rightarrow \text{INL}(X, L, W, s).$$

Proof: We use the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_x) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \text{Pic}(\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_T) & & \\ h_2 \searrow & & \swarrow g_2 & & \\ & \text{Pic}(\tilde{Y}_s) & & & \\ \uparrow \tau_1 & \uparrow \tau_2 & \uparrow \tau_3 & & \\ & \text{Pic}(Y_s) & & & \\ h_1 \nearrow & & \nwarrow g_1 & & \\ \text{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T) & & \end{array}$$

And one also has the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & \text{Pic}(\tilde{X}_x) & \xrightarrow{\tau'_1} & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Pic}(Y_s) & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & \text{Pic}(\tilde{Y}_s) & \xrightarrow{\tau'_2} & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

As $\text{INL}(\widetilde{X}_x, L'_x, W, s)$ is valid, we have $\text{im}(h_2) = \text{im}(g_2)$. We want to prove that $\text{im}(h_1) = \text{im}(g_1)$. Since the lower triangle commutes, we have the inclusion $\text{im}(h_1) \subset \text{im}(g_1)$. So we will prove the reverse inclusion. Let $\alpha \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}_T)$, as $\tau_2 g_1 = g_2 \tau_3$ we have $\tau_2 g_1(\alpha) = g_2 \tau_3(\alpha)$. This implies that there exists $\alpha' \in \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_x)$ such that $h_2(\alpha') = g_2(\tau_3(\alpha)) = \tau_2(g_1(\alpha))$.

Now chasing the image $g_1(\alpha) \in \text{Pic}(Y_s)$ in the second diagram, we see that $\tau'_2(h_2(\alpha')) = \tau'_2(\tau_2(g_1(\alpha))) = 0$. Then $\tau'_2(h_2(\alpha')) = \tau'_1(\alpha') = 0$. So that $\alpha' \in \text{im}(\tau_1)$. So there is an $\alpha'' \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $\alpha' = \tau_1(\alpha'')$.

Now going back to the previous diagram, we see that

$$h_2(\alpha') = h_2(\tau_1(\alpha'')) = \tau_2(h_1(\alpha'')) = \tau_2(g_1(\alpha)).$$

Hence, $\tau_2(h_1(\alpha'') - g_1(\alpha)) = 0$. But as τ_2 is injective, we see that $h_1(\alpha'') = g_1(\alpha)$.

This proves Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Applying the same technique we can prove:

Proposition 4.4 *If for all $x \in X$, $\text{INL}(\widetilde{X}, L''_x, H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x), s)$ is valid for all smooth $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x)$, then the intersection of the Noether-Lefschetz locus of $H^0(X, L)$ with the discriminant locus of $H^0(X, L)$ has codimension ≥ 2 in $S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L)^*)$.*

Proof: Firstly one notes that the hypothesis of the proposition together with Proposition 4.1, implies that the Noether-Lefschetz locus of $H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x)$ is a proper of codimension ≥ 1 in $\mathbf{P}(H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x)^*)$.

Note that the image of the zero scheme of any smooth $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}, L''_x)$ in X is an element of the linear system $H^0(X, L)$ with a single ordinary double point at x . Then the intersection of the Noether-Lefschetz locus with the discriminant locus is clearly of codimension ≥ 2 . This proves the result. $\square$

5 A General Noether-Lefschetz Theorem

In this section we are going to apply the general machinery of the previous section to prove the following generalization of the classical Noether-Lefschetz theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $X/\mathbf{C}$ be a smooth projective threefold, L a very ample line bundle on X . Then there exists an integer $n_0(X, L) > 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0(X, L)$, the Noether-Lefschetz locus of the linear system $H^0(X, L^n)$ has codimension ≥ 2 .*

Proof: The general machinery for the proof of this result was set up in the previous section. By the Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.7, and Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4 we are reduced to proving the following assertion:

There exists and $n_0(X, L)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the following are valid:

1. $H^1(X, \Omega_X^2 \otimes L^n) = 0$,
2. for every base point free linear system $W \subset H^0(X, L^n)$ of codimension at most one, we have

$$H^1(X, M(L^n, W) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0.$$

3. For all points $x \in X$, for all $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}_x}^2(-2E_x)) \otimes L^n) = 0,$$

4. for any codimension one linear system $W \subset H^0(X, L^n)$ with a single base point at $x \in X$ the following holds for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W)) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0.$$

5. For all $x \in X$ and for all $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$H^1(X, m_x^3 \otimes L^n) = 0,$$

- 6.

$$H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(\Omega_{\tilde{X}_x}^2(-2E_x)) \otimes L^n) = 0;$$

7. for $W = H^0(\tilde{X}_x, L''_x)$, we have for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \geq n_0$:

$$H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L''_x, W)) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0.$$

By what has transpired so far, these assertions, by the results of Section 3, imply the infinitesimal Noether-Lefschetz results *i.e.*, Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.7, and finally from these local results by Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4, we obtain the above global result. This entire section is devoted to the proof of these seven assertions. Firstly, note that of these seven assertions, the assertions (1), (3), (5) and (6) follow immediately from the Semi-continuity Theorem, Serre's vanishing theorem and from simple Noetherian induction. This will be left to the reader. The remaining assertions are more difficult and require more elaborate arguments. We will now prove the assertions (2), (4) and (7).

□

Proposition 5.1 *There exists a positive integer n_0 depending only on X, L such that for any base point free linear system of codimension at most one, we have*

$$H^1(X, M(L^n, W) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0.$$

Proof: The idea is to use a method of Mark Green (see [4]) and reduce the result to a regularity computation.

Let $V = H^0(X, L)$, by hypothesis, X embeds in $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}(V)$. Let $j : X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be the embedding given by the linear system. Write $\mathcal{I}_X$ for the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathbf{P}$. Then note that $L = j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1))$. We have a surjection:

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(X, L^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

We write $F = H^0(X, L^n)$, $F' = H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n))$. Then the subspace $W \subset F$ gives us a subspace $W' \subset F'$. If we choose $n_0 > \text{reg}(\mathcal{I}_X)$ then W' is base point free on $\mathbf{P}$. Moreover, one has $\text{codim}'_{F'}(W') = \text{codim}_F(W)$. Then we have corresponding to the triple $(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n), W')$ a vector bundle $M' := M(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n), W')$ which is defined by the usual evaluation sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Write $V' = \ker(W' \rightarrow W)$. Now we have the following commutative

diagram of locally free sheaves on X :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & = & V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & j^* M' & \longrightarrow & W' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & F \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

Now any splitting of the middle column gives a (non-canonical) splitting of the left column. Thus we see that one has a non-canonical splitting:

$$j^*(M') = M(L^n, W) \oplus V' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Now we need the following lemma due to Mark Green, see [4].

Lemma 5.2 *Let W be any base point free linear system $W \subset H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n))$. Then for all $i \geq 1$, and for all $k + i \geq \text{codim}(W) + 1$, we have*

$$H^i(\mathbf{P}, M' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(k)) = 0,$$

in other words, M' is $(\text{codim}(W) + 1)$ -regular.

Now by projection formula we have $j_* j^* M' = j_* M(L^n, W) \oplus V' \otimes j_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Thus as $j_*(M(L^n, W))$ is a direct summand of $M' \otimes j_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$, and as the regularity of M' is bounded independent of n , and as the regularity of $j_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a fixed constant, it follows that the regularity of $j_* M(L^n, W)$ is bounded independent of n . Thus if we assume that $n > (\text{codim}(W) + 1 + \text{reg}(K_X))$, then $H^1(X, M(L^n, W) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0$. This proves the proposition. $\square$

A slight modification of the same technique gives the following result.

Proposition 5.3 *For any codimension one linear system $W \subset H^0(X, L^n)$ with a single base point x , there is an $n_0(X, L)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have:*

$$H^1(X, (\pi_x)_*(M(L'_x, W)) \otimes K_X \otimes L^n) = 0.$$

Proof: We have to modify the argument we gave for the previous proposition. We need the following variant of the previous lemma.

For $x \in \mathbf{P}$ write $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ for the blowup of $\mathbf{P}$ at x , and write π_x for the blowup morphism. Write $W = H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n) \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}})$, where $m_{x, \mathbf{P}}$ is the maximal ideal of x in $\mathbf{P}$. W gives a natural linear system on $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$. Further, let M' be the vector bundle defined in Section 2, on $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ corresponding to the linear system W . Assume that $n \geq 2$ so that this linear system is base point free. We have

Lemma 5.4 *With the above notations, the coherent sheaf $(\pi_x)_*(M')$ on $\mathbf{P}$ is 1-regular, i.e., for all $i \geq 1$ and for all $k + i \geq 1$ we have*

$$H^i(\mathbf{P}, (\pi_x)_*(M') \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(k)) = 0.$$

Proof: On $\mathbf{P}$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\pi_x)_*(M') \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(n) \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}} \rightarrow 0.$$

The result now follows from the regularity computation for the sheaf $m_{x, \mathbf{P}}$. $\square$

Finally, we can now finish the proof of the proposition. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* M' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Write $K_x = \ker(L^n \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L^n \otimes m_{x, X})$. Note that K_x is a finite length sheaf, supported at the point x . We assume that n is large enough to ensure that there is a surjection

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}}) & \rightarrow & H^0(X, L^n \otimes m_{x, X}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ F' & & F & & \end{array}$$

Write $W' = \ker(F' \rightarrow F)$. Then there is a surjection $W' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow K_x$. To see this note that K_x is a sheaf supported at a point, further note that we can assume, by choosing n sufficiently large so that $H^1(X, L^n \otimes I_X) = 0$, that F' surjects on to $H^0(X, L^n \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$. This being done, we have the required surjection. Note that this choice of n depends only on X, L . We have then the following commutative diagram on X

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & W' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & K_x \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & j^* M' / \text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_X) & \rightarrow & F' \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & L^n \otimes m_{x, \mathbf{P}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & m_{x, X} \otimes L^n \rightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Note that $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_x)$ is supported at x and consequently is of finite length. Further we note that K_x is also a sheaf of finite length.

Now we want to compute the regularity of M in terms of $j^* M'$. On one hand we know that the regularity of $j^* M'$ can be computed in terms of regularity of M' on $\mathbf{P}$. By the previous lemma, we know that the regularity of M' on $\mathbf{P}$ is independent of n . And so that regularity of $j^* M'$ depends only on regularity of M' and on regularity of $j_* \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus we can choose n to be larger than the sum of these two numbers.

We note that as the Tor term is of finite length, the regularity of the sheaf $j^*(M')$ is the same as the regularity of the sheaf $j^*(M') / \text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathbf{C}_x, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (this is essentially because the Tor has no higher cohomologies, being supported on a single point). Similarly, as K_x has finite length, the top row of the diagram says that F and $\mathcal{O}_X$ have the same regularity. Thus we see that there is an n_0 depending only on X, L such that M is n_0 regular. Then the vanishing which is required follows. $\square$

Lastly, the assertion (7) is proved exactly as above. The point to be observed is the following lemma

Lemma 5.5 *Let $W = H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_x^2)$, $x \in \mathbf{P}$, define the coherent sheaf M'' on $\mathbf{P}$ by the exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_x^2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes m_x^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then M'' is 2-regular on $\mathbf{P}$.

6 Application to codimension two cycles

In this section we give an application of our general Noether-Lefschetz Theorem to codimension two cycles. The application which we have, is related to a conjecture of Madhav Nori (see [11], [12]). Let X be a smooth projective variety and L a very ample line bundle on X . Let $S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L^n)^*)$. Let K be the function field of S , and let $\bar{K}$ be its algebraic closure. Let $\mathcal{X} = X \times S$ and let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{P}(M(L^n)^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the universal hypersurface corresponding to sections of L^n . The natural inclusion $\text{Spec}(K) \hookrightarrow S$ gives rise to a fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_K & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

The inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_K$ then gives rise to the restriction map on rational equivalence classes of cycles in codimension i :

$$CH^i(\mathcal{X}_K) \rightarrow CH^i(\mathcal{Y}_K).$$

Nori's conjecture in this set up is the following:

Conjecture 1 (M. V. Nori) *If n is sufficiently large then the natural map*

$$CH^i(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{K}}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow CH^i(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

is an isomorphism for $i < \dim(\mathcal{Y}_K)$ and an inclusion for $i = \dim(\mathcal{Y}_K)$.

Observe that the inclusion of fields $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow K$ gives rise to a morphism $\mathcal{X}_K \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{C}}$ and hence a pull back morphism $CH^i(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow CH^i(\mathcal{X}_K)$ on cycle classes. Hence by composition we get an homomorphism $p_X^* : CH^i(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow CH^i(\mathcal{Y}_K)$.

We can now prove the following weaker statement:

Theorem 6.1 *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L an ample line bundle on X . If n is sufficiently large then the natural map (given above):*

$$CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_K)$$

is an inclusion.

Before we begin the proof of the theorem, let us note one immediate consequence of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.1 *Let X be a smooth projective threefold, L a very ample line bundle on X . Then we have*

$$CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \hookrightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}}) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

This is immediate from the fact that the passage from K to $\bar{K}$, annihilates only the torsion in Chow groups.

Proof: Let $z \in CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}})$ be a cycle such that $p_X^*(z) = 0$ in $CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_K)$. Then we note that there exists a divisor $D \hookrightarrow S$ such that z is the image of a cycle in $CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_D)$, where $\mathcal{Y}_D$ is the pull back of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ to D (via the inclusion $D \hookrightarrow S$). We can find a general pencil $\mathbf{P}^1 \hookrightarrow S$, which meets D transversally. Let F denote the function field of the $\mathbf{P}^1$ which parametrises the pencil. We have a commutative diagram in which the rows are complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}}) & & & \\ & & & \downarrow \tau & & & \\ CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_D) & \xrightarrow{f} & CH^2(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{h} & CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_K) & & \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g' & & & & \\ CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_{D \cap \mathbf{P}^1}) & \xrightarrow{f'} & CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbf{P}^1}) & \xrightarrow{h'} & CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_F) & & \end{array}$$

Since $p_X^*(z) = h(\tau(z)) = 0$, there is a $\psi \in CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_D)$ such that $f(\psi) = \tau(z)$. Then as the square commutes and as the bottom row is a complex, we see that the image of z in $CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_F)$ is also zero. Thus we are reduced to proving the following stronger assertion. $\square$

Theorem 6.2 *Suppose n is sufficiently large, and let $S = \mathbf{P}(H^0(X, L^n)^*)$, and let $\mathcal{Y}_P \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{P}^1$ be a general Lefschetz pencil in S . Let F be the function field of the $\mathbf{P}^1$ corresponding to this pencil. Then the natural morphism $CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \rightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_F)$ is injective.*

Proof: Since n is sufficiently large, by Theorem 5.1 the Noether-Lefschetz locus is of codimension at least two in S . Therefore we can assume that for every closed point $t \in \mathbf{P}^1$, we have a surjection $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_t)$. Moreover by Bertini's Theorem we can also assume that the base locus of such a pencil is an irreducible, smooth projective curve C .

Let $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_P$ be the exceptional divisor. Observe that $E = C \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Then it is easy to check that we have an isomorphism $CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_P) \simeq CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}}) \oplus CH^1(C)$ (see [3]). Further, we also have the following exact sequence:

$$\oplus_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1} CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_t) \rightarrow CH^2(X) \oplus CH^1(C) \rightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_F)$$

and where the direct sum extends over all the closed points of $\mathbf{P}^1$.

Let $z \in CH^2(X_{\mathbf{C}})$ be such that $p_X^*(z) = 0$ in $CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_F)$. Then $p_X^*(z)$ is in the image of the map $\oplus_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1} CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_t) \rightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_P)$. And hence there are finitely many closed points $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m \in \mathbf{P}^1$, and cycles $s_i \in CH^1(\mathcal{Y}_{t_i})$ such that $p_X^*(z) = \sum_i s_i$. By the choice of our pencil, we see that there are cycles $w_i \in CH^1(X)$ such that $s_i = p_X^*(w_i) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{t_i}$. But any two fibres of $\mathcal{Y}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ are rationally equivalent. Hence $p_X^*(z) = p_X^*(\sum_i w_i) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_t$. Writing $w = \sum_i w_i$, we can rewrite the last equality as $p_X^*(z) = p_X^*(w) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_t$.

So to prove that $z = 0$, by the projection formula, it suffices to prove that $w = 0$. Assume, if possible, that w is not zero. Then we have on intersecting with E , $p_X^*(z) \cdot E = p_X^*(w) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_t \cdot E$. By the projection formula, $(p_X)_*(p_X^*(z) \cdot E) = z \cdot (p_X)_*(E)$. Since E is the exceptional divisor, we have $(p_X)_*(E) = 0$, and thus $p_X^*(w) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_t \cdot E = p_X^*(z) \cdot E = 0$. Now the intersection of $\mathcal{Y}_t$ with E is C , so we see that $p_X^*(w) \cdot C = 0$. To contradict this, we need to prove that $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$ is injective. This is done as follows.

Let G be the Grassmannian of all lines in S , and $\widetilde{X} \hookrightarrow X \times G$ be the incidence locus. By choosing a large enough n , we can ensure that the fibres of $p_G : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow G$ are irreducible outside a codimension three subset of G . This can be done as follows. Let $R \subset \widetilde{X}$ be a subset where the morphism $p : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow G$ is not smooth. Then since p is generically smooth, R is a proper closed subscheme of $\widetilde{X}$.

Claim: R is an irreducible subscheme of $\widetilde{X}$.

Proof: For simplicity, we write $S = \mathbf{P}^n$. By definition, $(x, L) \in \widetilde{X}$ is in R if and only if the natural map $T_{\widetilde{X}} \rightarrow p^*T_G$ is not surjective. Note that lines in the dual projective space $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^n}$ correspond to codimension two linear spaces in $\mathbf{P}^n$. We will use the same notation to denote a line in $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^n}$ and the corresponding codimension two linear space in $\mathbf{P}^n$. Thus we see that p is not smooth at (x, L) if and only if the tangent spaces $T_{X,x}$ and $T_{L,x}$ do not span the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x}$. One has the following diagram of vector spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & T_{L,x} & \rightarrow & T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x} & \rightarrow & N_{L/\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & T_{X,x} & \rightarrow & T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x} & \rightarrow & N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Then R is the locus of pairs (x, L) where $\text{coker}(T_{L,x} \rightarrow N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n,x}) \neq 0$. So on writing $U = T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x}$, $E = N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n,x}$, for each L , such that $(x, L) \in R$, we have a codimension $n - 2$ subspace U_L of U . We are interested in those which subspaces U_L whose images under the surjection $U \rightarrow E$ of non-zero co-rank. Write $K = \ker(U \rightarrow E)$. Clearly the composite map $U_L \rightarrow W$ is not surjective if and only if we have $\dim U \cap K \geq \dim U - \dim W + 1$. Thus we see that the set of such subspaces U_L is in fact a Schubert subvariety in the Grassmannian of codimension two subspaces of U . It is well known that such varieties are irreducible.

Now varying $x \in X$ we see that, for every $x \in X$, we have a surjection of vector spaces $T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x} \rightarrow N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n,x}$ and the set of pairs $(x, L) \in R$ is a codimension two linear subspace $T_{L,x} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^n,x}$. Furthermore, $(x, L) \in R$ if and only if the composite map $T_{L,x} \rightarrow N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n,x}$ is not surjective. Thus R is an irreducible variety. $\square$

Now the subscheme R' of $\widetilde{X}$ where the fibres of the map $p : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow G$ are of dimension two is in fact a subscheme of R . It is in fact a proper subscheme of R . This follows from the fact that since n is large enough, the general singular fibre of p (which is a complete intersection) is irreducible. This is an easy consequence of Bertini's Theorem. Then the image of R' in G has codimension at least three.

Now let Z be the open subset of G where the fibres of p_G are smooth and irreducible. Let η be the generic fibre of Z .

We have a complex

$$\oplus_D CH^0(p_G^{-1}(D)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_\eta)$$

where the sum extends over all codimension one subvarieties D in G . By the choice of n as above, $p_G^{-1}(D)$ is irreducible. Therefore, the image of $CH^0(p_G^{-1}(D)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$ is contained in the image of $\text{Pic}(G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$. Note that $\text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}) = \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. Thus $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_\eta)$ is injective.

Now the following proposition, which seems to be well known but for which we have been unable to find a convenient reference, completes the proof.

Proposition 6.2 *Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X, f : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Z$ be such that f is proper with general fibre irreducible and smooth, and X, Z irreducible, X smooth projective variety over complex numbers. Let η be the generic point of Z . Suppose $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_\eta)$ is injective. Then for $s \in Z$ outside a countable union of proper closed subvarieties of Z , the “specialization map” $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_s)$ is injective.*

Proof: Recall that the Néron-Severi group, $NS(X) = \text{Pic}(X)/\text{Pic}^0(X)$, is a finitely generated group. Let $\tau \in NS(X)$ be a numerical class, then it is well-known (see for instance [8]) that there exists smooth projective variety $\text{Pic}^\tau(X)$, which parametrises line bundles on X of numerical class τ , and a universal line bundle P_τ on $X \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$ (the “Poincare bundle”) with the following property: for any $\alpha \in \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$ the restriction $P_\tau|_{X \times \{\alpha\}}$ is the line bundle α on X . The line bundle P_τ is unique up to a tensoring with a line bundle pulled back from $\text{Pic}^\tau(X)$. We have then the following diagram of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{X} \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X) & \xrightarrow{f' = f \times \text{id}} & Z \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X) \\ \downarrow \pi \times \text{id} & & \\ X \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X) & & \end{array}$$

Now recall that $\text{Pic}(X) = \coprod_{\tau \in NS(X)} \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$. Let $s \in Z$, then the “specialization map” $g : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_s)$ gives to maps $g_{\tau,s} : \text{Pic}^\tau(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_s)$. Note that $0 \in g_{0,s}^{-1}(0)$ for any $s \in Z$. Then to prove the proposition, it suffices to prove that for s outside a countable union of proper closed subsets, we have

$$g_{\tau,s}^{-1}(0) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } \tau = 0; \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $P'_\tau = (\pi \times \text{id})^*(P_\tau)$. Then we are interested in the set of points $Z_\tau = \{(s, p) \in Z \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X) \mid P'_\tau|_{\widetilde{X}_s \times \{p\}} = 0\}$. We claim that Z_τ is in fact a closed subset of $Z \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$. This is accomplished by the following well-known:

Scholium 1 *Let X be a smooth projective variety, S any irreducible smooth variety. Let $X \rightarrow S$ be smooth proper morphism, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent sheaf on X which is flat over S . Then the set of points $s \in S$ where the $H^0(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s) \neq 0$ is a closed subset of S .*

The assertion of the Scholium is clearly local, so we can assume that the base is affine. Now the result is an easy consequence of the existence of a Grothendieck complex for $\mathcal{E}$ (see [9]), and will be left to the reader.

Now we apply the Scholium to the morphism $f' : \widetilde{X} \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X) \rightarrow X \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$ and with $\mathcal{E} = P'_\tau$, and with $\mathcal{E} = P'^{-1}_\tau$. Now we are done because a line L bundle on an irreducible variety is trivial if and only if $H^0(L) \neq 0$ and $H^0(L^{-1}) \neq 0$. So the set Z_τ is closed.

Now the proof breaks up into the above two cases: $\tau = 0$ and $\tau \neq 0$. Let us first dispose of the case $\tau \neq 0$. Since by the hypothesis of Proposition 6.2 $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\widetilde{X}_\eta)$ is injective, and since the morphism f' is generically smooth we see that the subset Z_τ does not meet $\{\eta\} \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$. Hence, there is a proper closed subset $D_\tau \subset Z$ such that $Z_\tau \hookrightarrow D_\tau \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$. Hence the image of Z_τ in Z under the first projection is a proper closed subset of Z .

The argument for $\tau = 0$ is almost identical except that at the generic point, the line bundle P'_0 is trivial, and hence at the generic point, Z_0 is contained in a subset $\eta \times \text{Pic}^0(X) \cup D_0 \times \text{Pic}^0(X)$, where D_0 is a proper closed subset of X . So to sum up this argument, we have shown that for every class τ in $NS(X)$, there is closed subscheme Z_τ of $Z \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$, such that for $\tau \neq 0$, $Z_\tau \hookrightarrow D_\tau \times \text{Pic}^\tau(X)$, where $D_\tau \subset Z$ is a proper closed subscheme. For $\tau = 0$, the subscheme Z_0 (at the generic point) is contained in a subscheme of the form $\eta \times \text{Pic}^0(X) \cup D_0 \times \text{Pic}^0(X)$, and again D_0 is a proper subscheme of Z . Since $NS(X)$ is finitely generated, it is countable, so by removing a countable union of closed subsets D_τ where $\tau \in NS(X)$, we can ensure that the specialization map is injective. $\square$

Remark: In a personal communication, Nori has pointed out to us that his conjecture is not valid for Chow groups over $\mathbf{Z}$, in other words one has to work

with Chow groups tensor $\mathbf{Q}$. This can be seen as follows. Suppose X is a smooth projective threefold, L an ample line bundle on X , assume further that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Let n be any positive integer. Then the zero scheme Y of a general section of $H^0(X, L^n)$ has the property that $H^1(\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_K}) = 0$. Then by a well-known result of Roitman (see [13]), we know that $CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}})$ is torsion free, because the Albanese variety of $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}} = 0$. So that the restriction $CH^2(X_K) \rightarrow CH^2(\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{K}})$ obliterates all the torsion in $CH^2(X_K)$, consequently if $CH^2(X_K)$ has non-trivial torsion then the restriction map cannot be injective.

It is possible to write down examples of threefolds which have the above properties. A well studied example being that of a smooth general quartic hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^4$. It is shown in [1] that group of codimension two cycles algebraically equivalent to zero modulo rational equivalence, denoted by $A^2(X)$, is isomorphic as a group to the intermediate Jacobian $J^2(X)$. The latter group is a complex torus (in fact an Abelian variety) and hence has non-trivial torsion. Moreover, it is standard that $A^2(X) \subset CH^2(X)$ (see [3]). A similar example can be found in [10].

□

References

- [1] Spencer Bloch. *Lectures on Algebraic Cycles*. DUMS (IV). Duke University, 1980.
- [2] J. Carlson, M. Green, P. Griffiths, and J. Harris. Infinitesimal Variations of Hodge structures (i). *Compositio. Math.*, 50:109–205, 1983.
- [3] W. Fulton. *Intersection Theory*, volume Band 2 of *Folge 3*. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [4] Mark Green. A new proof of the explicit Noether-Lefschetz Theorem. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 27:155–159, 1988.
- [5] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. On the Noether-Lefschetz Theorem and some remarks on codimension two cycles. *Math. Ann.*, 271:31–51, 1985.
- [6] N. Mohan Kumar and V. Srinivas. The Noether-Lefschetz Theorem. Unpublished Preprint.

- [7] S. Lefschetz. *L'analysis situs et la géométrie algébrique*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1924.
- [8] D. Mumford. *Lectures on Curves on algebraic surfaces*. Princeton University Press.
- [9] David Mumford. *Abelian Varieties*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics. Oxford University Press, for the Tata Institute, Bombay, 1974.
- [10] J. Murre. Algebraic equivalence modulo rational equivalence on a cubic threefold. *Compositio. Math.*, 25, 1972.
- [11] M. V. Nori. Algebraic cycles and Hodge theoretic connectivity. *Invent. math.*, 111:349–373, 1993.
- [12] Kapil Paranjape. Cohomological and Cycle-theoretic Connectivity. Preprint.
- [13] A. A. Roitman. The torsion of the group of zero cycles modulo rational equivalence. *Annals of Math.*, 111:553–569, 1980.